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An invitation to Sydney, Australia

IAPH Mid-term Conference and Board Meeting • 6–10 April 2014: Sydney, Australia

Sydney Ports invites you to experience an engaging and high quality program of events that addresses the many issues confronting us all in the challenging business of international trade.

What makes this Conference a must to attend is the high emphasis on port automation, trends towards ever larger ships, port master-planning and developments in supply chain efficiencies. The Panama Canal Authority will also provide an overview of its soon to be completed Expansion project and its impact on global trade.

And you can even extend your stay to see some of the amazing sights Australia has to offer.

As you know, IAPH conferences provide the global ports community with invaluable networking opportunities and we at Sydney Ports are looking forward to welcoming you in April 2014.

Visit iaphsydney2014.com for registration and sponsorship opportunities.

Hosted by Sydney Ports Corporation.

Scan to register now
Happy New Year!

For the first time since its inception, IAPH has changed its decision making process

Susumu Naruse
Secretary General – The International Association of Ports and Harbors

A happy New Year from Tokyo! I hope you all saw in 2014 in a joyful manner.

It seems that the global economy finally overcame the slump last year but organisations like the International Monetary Fund remain cautious about 2013’s recovery: “Advanced economies are growing again but must continue financial sector repair, pursue fiscal consolidation and spur job growth. Emerging market economies face the dual challenges of slowing growth and tighter global financial conditions.” We need to carefully watch the path of the global economy and monitor consequent port demand this year.

Last year, ultra-large container ships with a capacity of 18,000 teu were put on the market and the three largest container carriers announced the creation of an unprecedented alliance called P3. This may change the logistics structure of maritime containers.

The number of piracy incidents off the Somali coast fortunately fell due to the tremendous efforts of related parties, but we still need to be cautious about events in this area and other hotspots.

Regulations for ships’ emissions will start to tighten in emission control areas soon, and as such some ship owners are seriously considering using LNG as a fuel instead of oil. LNG bunkering could become an urgent issue for the port industry imminently.

All of these critical issues were discussed at the IAPH Africa/Europe regional meeting, which was beautifully organised by the Port of Amsterdam last November. The official event was the IAPH Strategy Meeting, which was comprised of the members of Executive Committee and Long Range Planning/Review Committee. It was held in order to discuss the future direction of IAPH.

Chaired by President Gillfillan, the meeting agreed to streamline IAPH’s decision-making process and to help energise the technical committees. As the first step, the meeting decided to establish a powerful but simple new decision-making body named the ‘Council’, which will replace the Executive Committee and Board of Directors.

Members will be able to discuss these changes in depth at the board meeting in Sydney this April. This is the first time that IAPH has overhauled its decision-making structure during its 60-year history. Irrespective of decisions made by the board, this year will definitely be one to remember in the history of IAPH. I wish you and your organisation a prosperous and happy year.
Most of the seaports in the Philippines racked by super typhoon Haiyan resumed operations almost a month after the devastating disaster.

Heavily damaged Tacloban port in Leyte started full commercial operations on 20 November after Manila-headquartered International Container Terminal Services stepped into help, the Philippine Ports Authority (PPA) told P&H. The equipment includes a mobile harbor crane, 12 container forklifts of varying sizes, seven generator sets for electrification of the terminal, six tower lights for the port’s night operations and reach stacker units for moving cargo.

ICTSI’s Asia chief Christian Gonzalez said the group would run relief operations at the port for free until normal transport has been restored.

Meanwhile,Ormoc port in Leyte, which was also badly hit, also managed to return to operations, along with the other ports in Leyte, Samar, Cebu, Iloilo, Camarines, Catanduanes, Romblon and Quezon province.

The only remaining facilities that had not fully resumed operations at time of writing are Guian port in eastern Samar and Estancia port in Iloilo, said PPA.

Guian port operations are limited to beaching, or just for the vessels to anchor, but without movement of cargoes due to damaged cargo handling equipment.

Meanwhile, in Estancia port authorities are still addressing an oil spill from a barge owned by the National Power Corporation which was damaged at the height Haiyan.

Around 200,000 litres of bunker fuel from NAPOCOR Power Barge 103 spilled at the shoreline of Barangay Botongon, Estancia; 150,000 litres of it has already washed ashore and contaminated about a kilometre stretch of Estancia’s coastline and its infrastructure, said the Philippine Coast Guard. Clean-up operations began in earnest in early December.

The strongest to hit the Philippines this year, Haiyan has recorded maximum sustained winds of 315kph. It was rated a category 5 storm, said the Philippine weather bureau Pagasa.

Malaysia’s DIALOG Group Berhad and Singapore’s Concord Energy Pte Ltd have partnered to carry out a feasibility study on a crude oil and petroleum products storage in Pengerang, Johor, in southern Malaysia. The feasibility study, expected to take in the region of a year to complete, will look into a dedicated storage capacity of up to 2 million m³ and deepwater jetty facilities to accommodate VLCCs access for Concord Energy. The initial planned capacity is up to 1 million m³. It will be part of the Pengerang Deepwater Petroleum Terminal project.
Haifa port to seize Suez trade

Two deepwater port projects are planned for Haifa port in the north of Israel, each expected to cost the country $1 billion. The other development is destined for Ashdod in the south near the commercial hub of Tel Aviv.

The first deepwater ports in Israel, Haifa and Ashdod will be built to accommodate ships the size of Maersk's triple E class (18,000 teu, with a draught of 14.5m and 400m in length). The initial aim is to provide adequate port infrastructure to meet Israel's growing trade needs, broaden private sector participation, and foster competition; the current ports are state-owned and run, with union issues causing disruption. The new ports will be operated privately.

Israel's main cargo is containerised, with 26.5 million tonnes handled in 2012. It averaged a 7.6% annual growth rate between 1990-2012; a 5.3% growth rate in container traffic is forecast for 2013 until 2030.

It is not surprising, then, that private and public backers of the development want Haifa, Israel's largest port and also the country's main container port, to be a priority. They also cite the health of the country's industrial north.

“If you develop Ashdod, only Tel Aviv will be helped. The bigger picture is that the hinterland prefers to trade via Haifa,” said Yona Yahav, the city's mayor.

Dov Frohlinger, COO of state-run Israel Ports Development & Assets Company Ltd in charge of port developments and chair of IAPH's port finance and economics committee, said at a media briefing in late 2013 that Haifa currently supports 60% of Israel's trade, and that trade through the port has seen an average annual growth rate of 4.1% between 1990-2012. This year, the port is expected to handle 1.3 million teu.

Frohlinger pointed out that Israel's economic stability stands in its favour for becoming a trade hub – capturing part of the 38 million teu crossing east-west via the Suez Canal destined for the Middle East hinterland, Europe, the Black Sea region or the Americas.

“Our forecasts and the resultant demand for additional port infrastructure are based on Israel's own trade demands, as well as a certain amount of what we call ‘natural transhipment traffic’, which is approximately 13% above Israel's own needs,” Frohlinger told P&H.

A chart presented by Frohlinger showed that in 2008, when the world's economy collapsed, Israel's economic growth slowed, but remained in the black, and significantly healthier than the economies of the US and the EU. In 2010 and 2011, Israel's growth returned to near its 2007 levels, at just under 5%. This year, growth has been just under 4%.

Shipping expert and economist Professor Yehuda Hayut said an agreement was struck in September between Jordan and the Palestinian Authority to create a new border crossing for containers at the city of Jenin in the northern West Bank. Jenin is only 60km from Haifa. The alternative option, said Hayut, is the Jordanian Port of Aqaba, 400km away, also necessitating transit through the Suez Canal.

Hayut said Iraq is a future potential trading partner. Mixed goods from the US en route to Baghdad pass daily through Haifa, and use the Sheikh Hussein Bridge Israel/Jordan border crossing.

Redevelopment of inner-city roads to the port area of downtown Haifa should be ready, and the port's opening is due in 2018, Frohlinger told P&H.

Media representatives were also updated on the Jordan Gateway Industrial Park (JGIP). A free trade zone established between Israel and Jordan in 2002, developers are seeking investment from Europe to build a 352m land bridge into Jordanian territory, which would allow certain commodities to circumvent the current border crossing and lengthy security checks.

Florida has asked lawmakers for $9.7 million for a new cargo terminal at Port Canaveral in 2015. The port primarily handles bulk and breakbulk. It is widening and deepening its channel and constructing two new cargo berths, and has purchased two new post-Panamax ship-to-shore cranes.

Turkey's Global Ports Holding (GPH) has been chosen as the preferred bidder for the 62% majority stake in the Montenegrin Port of Bar currently held by the Minister of Maritime Transportation. GPH is now entering negotiations with the Montenegrin authorities for a final contract that will give it the right to operate the port for 30 years.

Hong Kong-listed COSCO Pacific plans to expand its container terminal in Piraeus. Under the proposal, China COSCO's box terminal operator said that Greece's government would remove a minimum annual payment to Piraeus Port Authority (OLP). In exchange, capacity of the terminal's Pier 2 would be raised, a western branch of Pier 3 would be built, and a new oil pier would be constructed.

Incheon and PSA International have signed a memorandum of understanding (MoU) to forge a strategic partnership in the areas of port operations, technology and best practices; and collaborate in the strategic development of Incheon Port.
Savannah increased 19% in October.

Container trade at the Port of Rotterdam Authority has cargot oarecord 2.3 million tonnes for and helped increase year-on-year.

Monthly grain cargoes of Novorossiysk Commercial Seaport co boomed on this year’s better harvest in Russia. October totals gained 47% year on year, said the company, which operates Novorossiysk on the Black Sea, Primorsk on the Baltic, and other ports. But overall cargo turnover fell to 12 million tonnes, 4.3% from totals of October 2012.

The P3 alliance between Maersk, MSC and CMA CGM revealed its combined global port rotations in October. The alliance is currently seeking regulatory approval. As R&H went to press Beijing and EU regulators were due to meet in Washington, DC, for a global summit on container line vessel alliances to be hosted by the US Federal Maritime Commission. On 5 December, US regulators decided that more information on the of effects the P3 Network Alliance is needed before it can be allowed to go forward in the US.

The specifics, as posted by MSC, include ports, transit times and sailing frequencies. The three carriers previously confirmed that P3 would feature 255 vessels with a total capacity of 2.6 million teu on 29 service loops. Assuming regulatory approval is obtained, P3 services – which would represent the largest vessel-sharing arrangement in history – are expected to begin in the second quarter of 2014.

The schedules reveal that no port currently serviced by the three lines’ east-west services would be dropped under the P3 scheme. A small number would, however, be dropped by some services and picked up by others.

The principal losers if the P3 Network’s Far East/Europe services are activated as now planned.

Under the service schedule, Rotterdam would lose three Far East/North Europe export calls out of five and two of four import calls, while Port Klang would lose two out of three export calls and one out of two import calls, but also three Far East-Mediterranean import calls.

In North Europe, Antwerp is the only port that would gain a call, with P3 planning to make three export calls instead of two at present and to maintain two import calls. Zeebrugge would lose one of its two export calls and one of its two import calls, but Bremerhaven, Le Havre and Hamburg would stay level on total port calls.

In Asia, Tanjung Pelepas would increase its Far East/North Europe calls from six to 10 but lose four of its five Far East/Mediterranean calls to Singapore, which would become the main hub for Far East/Med services but lose four of nine Far East/North Europe calls.

“Other key ports on the P3 network will remain largely unscathed, with coverage of the main ports retained or enhanced,” Alphaliner said.
EU creates new era for ship recycling

The European Union’s (EU’s) new ship recycling regulation is intended to open a new era in the way that European owners dispose of their old ships. It provides for ships under EU flags, which have reached the end of their working lives, to be recycled in future at regularly inspected EU approved facilities.

The regulation also applies to non-EU ships if they call at EU ports. Like their EU counterparts, they will be obliged to carry an inventory of hazardous materials contained in their structures and on board equipment.

These requirements will not come into force immediately, however. The list of approved facilities has still to be compiled and the commission will need to report on the feasibility of creating financial incentives to encourage owners to make use of them.

If incentives are deemed to be useful, the commission will have three years to propose legislation to bring them into effect.

The regulation itself will only be applicable to ships between two and five years after it comes into effect, depending on when the capacities of the facilities on the EU approved list exceed 2.5 million light displacement tonnes.

Provisions relating to shipbreaking facilities, however, will become applicable after a year. The regulation aims to bring into EU law the provisions of the 2009 Hong Kong Convention for the Safe and Environmentally Sound Recycling of Ships, helping to speed up the process of bringing it into force worldwide.

As such, it has been welcomed by EU shipowners body ECSA. The NGO Shipbreaking Platform has warned, however, that it will not be effective if the EU does not introduce financial incentives quickly to encourage shipowners to comply with it.

“Without a financial incentive, circumvention of European law will persist and European shipowners will be allowed to continue to seek significant financial profits,” said Patrizia Heidegger, the platform’s executive director, after the regulation was passed in October.

Geotube triumphs

Netherlands-based TenCate has won an award for a project at Embraport container and bulk fuel terminal at Port of Santos, Brazil. The geotubes specialist won the US Industrial Fabrics Association International’s Achievement Award for Design Excellence in Geosynthetic Applications.

Geotubes are textile tubes which contain dredged material, in this case contaminated material. A condition of the 2007 government site development approval was to remove, dewater, and dispose of 600,000m³ of contaminated sediment within the port’s planned entrance channel and turning basin.

Contamination is due to heavy industrialisation in the Santos region and it was estimated that the 600,000m³ of contaminated sediments, when dewatered and consolidated, would provide a saving of 400,000m³ of imported fill costs – an overall saving of 20% to 30% of the earth fill platform cost for the terminal. Once dewatered the encapsulated sediments will comprise the base for the container storage area.

The effluent water flowing from the geotubes drained into a collection basin from where it was pumped to a small water treatment polishing plant. Here, the water’s pH level was initially raised to precipitate out any dissolved solids before the water was transferred to a second basin where its pH was neutralised. It then passed through activated carbon filters and was released back into the environment.

When complete, Embraport will not only be the largest privately owned port facility in Brazil, but will be also the largest in South America, able to handle over 2 million teu and 2 billion litres of bulk liquids annually. It is situated on the north shore of the Estuario de Santos in the state of São Paulo.

The sediment-filled geotubes can be seen on the island

Cash & Cargo

- RECORD FOR STOCKHOLM
Ports of Stockholm handled 45,000 teu year to date in November last year and in doing so set itself a new personal record. Its previous record was set in 2007, with 44,623 teu, said the port in a statement. At time of writing, 2013 volumes were expected to exceed 50,000 teu.

- APMT RECORD
APM Terminals handled 9.3 million teu (weighted by equity share) during the third quarter of 2013, setting a new record for container volumes to pass through its worldwide portfolio during one quarter. APM Terminals has set a target of annual profits of $1 billion from 2016 and is said to be on track.

- REACHSTACKER RESEARCH
Kalmar and Global Service have started to create a reachstacker prototype using dual fuel technology as part of the GreenCrane project. Tests were carried out in December 2013 at the Port of Livorno.

- SALT IN KIEL
The port of Kiel in Germany has reported gritting salt being shipped through its facilities for the first time. The 2,050 gross tonne, 88m-long and 13m-wide cargo ship Julietta arrived with a load of 3,200 tonnes of gritting salt from Morocco.

- N4 TO TO IN AUSTRALIA
DP World Australia has completed N4 N4 TOS (terminal operating system) upgrades on three of four planned DP World sites that assist with vessel and yard planning and provide critical support covering gates, kiosks and rail. TOS implementations at DP World Sydney, Fremantle and now Melbourne are all complete and fully operational, with the fourth and final N4 project in progress at DP World Brisbane and set to be operational early in 2014.
Port of Venice authority

The Italian government’s decision to reduce the number of cruise ships allowed to approach the historic centre of Venice as of the beginning of 2014 is keenly contested by the port’s passenger terminal operating company, Venice Terminal Passenger (VTP).

Mayor of Venice Giorgio Orsoni has welcomed the measures as putting an end to the growth in numbers and size of the cruise ships transiting Venice’s lagoon, but VTP has warned that they will have dire economic consequences with job and revenue losses.

The measures announced by the government state that the number of cruise ships of more than 40,000 gross tonnage allowed to use the Guidecca Canal, which runs along the city’s historic waterfront, was to be reduced by 20% from 1 January, while trans-Adriatic ferries are to be banned from the canal completely.

The most symbolic measure, however, will be the banning from the canal of all cruise ships of over 96,000 gross tonnage from 1 November.

In addition, the number of cruise ships allowed to moor in the city will be limited to five, while cruise ship arrivals and departures are to be concentrated during early morning and late evening periods.

The announcement was made following a meeting between government ministers, including Prime Minister Enrico Letta, and representatives of the city and Port of Venice and its surrounding region.

Mayor Orsoni, who was at the meeting, said afterwards: “Finally, the trend towards gigantic ships in the lagoon has been turned round. We’ve had enough of these mega cruise ships just metres away from San Marco. From now on, there will be clear limits on the size of ships that can enter Venice.”

There was a very different reaction, however, from VTP, which estimated that the measures would cost the port 48 ship calls and more than 323,000 passenger transits next year, resulting in lost revenues totalling €82 million ($113 million) and 800 job losses.

In 2015, the damage will be multiplied, according to VTP, which calculated that 174 cruise calls would be lost and more than one million passenger transits, leading to a €260 million ($358 million) reduction in revenues and 2,500 job losses.

VTP president Sandro Trevisanato, who warned that the government risked finding itself faced with legal action for compensation, was quoted as describing the ban on the biggest cruise ships as “absolutely excessive” in relation to the scale of the threat posed by the cruise industry.

The Port of Venice authority
told P&H that it could not dispute a decision of the Italian government but that it was in any case in agreement with the broad lines of the government’s announcement.

Spokeswoman Giovanna Benvenuti indicated that the authority was particularly concerned with maintaining Venice’s Marittima terminal as the leading cruise ship home port in the Mediterranean.

She stressed, moreover, that, as the government’s announcement had indicated, the restrictions on cruise ship numbers and size were to be considered as temporary, applying only until a new access channel, the Canale Contorta Sant’Angelo, came into service.

This channel is part of a €140 million ($192.6 million) plan to enable cruise ships to enter the Venice lagoon from the south without passing through the San Marco Basin and the Guidecca Canal.

Benvenuti said that the port was hoping that the cruise industry would accept the restrictions announced by the government in the knowledge that a longer term alternative was in preparation.

As to where ships excluded from Venice itself could go, she said it would be for the cruise companies to decide but that they could be switched to the neighbouring ports of Ravenna and Trieste. Alternatively, she added, the companies could send smaller ships to Venice.

So far, she continued, reaction from the cruise sector suggested that they were ready to cooperate with the government’s plan.

“The cruise industry [has] understood the situation and they are contributing to the application of all measures. “Finally, they [have] understood the extraordinary importance of safeguarding Venice,” she concluded.

COP19 tackles sea level rise

The United Nations Climate Change Conference agreed at its Warsaw meeting in November (COP19) to establish an international mechanism to provide the most vulnerable populations with better protection against damage caused by extreme weather and rising sea levels, said the United Nations Climate Change Secretariat in a statement.

“We have seen essential progress. But let us again be clear that we are witnessing ever more frequent, extreme weather events, and the poor and vulnerable are already paying the price,” said Christiana Figueres, executive secretary of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Governments at COP19 also provided more clarity on mobilising finance to support developing countries to adapt to climate change. To achieve this, developed countries have been asked to prepare biennial submissions on their strategies to support this aim during 2014-20.

IMO participated in the conference, where an update of its work to reduce greenhouse gases from shipping was provided.

Antwerp wins ESPO prize

Port of Antwerp won the 2013 European Seaports Organisation (ESPO) award in November for its project, ‘Heritage: the breadcrumbs trail between city and port’. An ESPO statement said the judges were “especially impressed by the way the project of the Port of Antwerp celebrates the past, provides new civic amenities for the citizens of today, creates a new iconic building as the heritage of tomorrow, and sets out to educate its citizens about the importance of a thriving port for their lives”. John Richardson, the chair of the ESPO award committee, said at the GreenPort Congress in November that Antwerp’s ‘rehabilitation of the area called “het Eilandtje” includes the restoration of seven old dock cranes in a prominent position beside the river’. Port of Antwerp explained that the “restoration of the dock cranes becomes a social project in which young people who have difficulty in finding a job are given the opportunity to learn a trade and gain experience”.

Christiaan De Block, Port of Antwerp’s chief operations officer, said in his word of thanks that the port authority sees winning the award as “an appreciation for the sustainable development of our port, and for our efforts towards maximum symbiosis between city and port...The ultimate aim is to bring citizens and visitors closer to the Port of Antwerp. Our motto, “Strong through collaboration”, has once more proved its value.”

The port authorities of Cartagena, Dover, Livorno and Oslo were also shortlisted for the award.

Rising sea levels was on the agenda at COP19 in Poland

Bought & Sold

- **CRANES TO MIDDLE EAST**
  Liebherr is expanding its cargo handling presence in the Middle East through a growth of orders of its special cranes. The company reported it has sold two Liebherr Mobile Harbour Cranes and the first LISIM LHM 550 unit. Additionally, one Liebherr Reachstacker has been delivered to the region.

- **EMPTY ORDERS IN DUBAI**
  Kalmar will deliver by April 2014 seven empty container handlers to DP World for its Container Terminal 3, which is under construction in Dubai. Scheduled for completion in 2014, the 4 million teu capacity of Terminal 3 will take the total capacity of Jebel Ali Port to 19 million teu.

- **POWER IN FAR EAST**
  Cavotec has secured €12 million ($16.5 million) worth of orders for its shore power systems in the Far East and Europe. The firm will supply more than 50 ship-based alternative maritime power units for a major container shipping line that will be able to slash emissions and fuel costs by switching off its engines and connecting to shore side electrical power.

- **TRANSNET AUTOMATES**
  Transnet National Ports Authority (TNPA) of South Africa has awarded a €10 million ($13.7 million) order to Cavotec for its MoorMaster automated mooring units. It is the largest single order to date for the technology. Cavotec will design and manufacture MoorMaster systems for TNPA mooring container ships up to 13,000 teu.
The concept of ‘sustainable development’ was first coined during a series of conferences in the 1970s that focused on the environment. There were concerns over the environmental impact of the industrialised countries in northern Europe, as well as the impact of development on those in southern Europe. Sustainable development was mentioned in European Council conclusions for the first time in 1988, although attitudes to the concept remained ambivalent until the 1997 Treaty of Amsterdam eventually ensured its formal recognition as a legal objective under the treaties. Since then the EU has had a quasi-constitutional obligation to aim for sustainable economic development.

But what does sustainable development really mean? Its most quoted definition is that coined by the World Commission on Environment and Development, known as the Brundtland Commission after its chairperson, Gro Harlem Brundtland. In its 1987 report the commission defined it as “development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs”.

The concept is usually broken down into three constituent parts: environmental, economic and sociopolitical sustainability. More recently it has been suggested that a better analytical breakdown would add the concept of cultural sustainability.

It is important to understand what cultural sustainability might mean for ports. The European Sea Ports Organisation (ESPO) award, launched in 2009, has always promoted sustainability through its focus on societal integration of ports. The 2013 award competition chose heritage as its theme. Entrants were asked to demonstrate the ways in which their ports promoted both current and future heritage. To me this idea represents the essence of sustainability.

Ports are, of course, very well aware of the need for their operations to be economically sustainable. Many are planning for further growth in the future and need to take investment action that will affect their capacity and efficiency for decades to come – and certainly until the working lifetime of any grandchildren of today’s managers.

In doing so, they will have to make informed predictions on the huge number of scenarios that could occur over such a period of time. What growth rates can they expect? Should they plan for 30 or 60% more capacity? Those may be the most straightforward questions. Some issues are more complex. For example, will the European Union Single Market still be functioning, or even exist? What land-based mode of transport will be predominant in years to come? How mobile will our population be? Will the Northern Sea Route be a major artery for EU-Asia trade, and which ports will develop to transship goods emerging from the Arctic waters? How much
will sea level rise? Will the trend for ever larger vessels with deeper draught continue? Will protectionism erode the global economy and lead to local production for consumption rather than global sourcing?

I have great admiration for those who are able to tackle these questions even implicitly in their investment decisions. The answers are the key to genuinely sustainable investments.

What is clear is that ports, more than most businesses, are obliged to consider carefully long-term economic sustainability, but they cannot do so without also thinking of environmental sustainability. Today, this an integrated part of port planning. It is now around 20 years since ESPO produced its first Environmental Code of Practice. At that time less than half of Europe’s ports had an environmental policy; the figure now is 91%. That sounds like progress, and it is, but 38% of ports still have no formal environment management system. There is still much to do.

For many years the impact of port development on the local ecosystems and their fauna and flora was given the most environmental consideration. However, now that we have the Environmental Guidelines, which were issued by the European Commission in 2011 and warmly welcomed by ESPO, this aspect is systematically addressed in all port development.

The port industry is faced with a vast array of rules and regulations that it must respect, and the legal officers of ports have a hugely important role ensuring that they are in compliance with them. Nevertheless, for me one of the most encouraging aspects of our ports’ attitude to the environment is revealed in the answer to ESPO’s 2012 benchmark survey, in which 73% of all ports responded ‘yes’ to the question, ‘Does your policy aim to improve environmental standards beyond those required under legislation?’

Examples of going ‘above and beyond regulation’ can be found in the cruise industry. One way in which modern cruise ships apply this is in the area of solid waste, where multiple waste streams are separated and stored until they can be received on land. Unfortunately, too few ports are equipped with appropriate reception facilities to maintain this degree of separation. This is one issue that needs to be addressed in the revision of the old EU directive on port reception facilities in the coming months.

However, the principle is clear, and going above and beyond regulation is clearly part of the mindset, which leads us towards fully sustainable economic activities. Not only is this morally correct, it also makes economic sense.

In most sectors, if we discuss social sustainability, we are talking about maintaining well-paid, skilled, and safe jobs, and a motivated, creative workforce. It seems to me that this subject, for perhaps understandable historical reasons, is given less attention by the port sector than by others. I would hope that this can change in the future.

Sustainable port development can also hold cultural components. Many ports boast rich collections of heritage, and this can be used to explain a port’s history and make a connection with the present and future. I would like to go further and say that when we think of the legacy that ports of today will leave behind, we should make sure that future generations will regard them with pride as their valued heritage. Ports are now building the cultural icons of the future.

If we examine what sustainable development means for ports, I believe it should include the issues of: long-term economic thinking; green operations; societal integration; human resource management; caring for the heritage of the past; and creating the heritage of the future.

Above all, we must refer back to the work of the Brundtland Commission. If today’s port managers and employees can adopt this simple idea as the guiding light of all they do, their grandchildren will indeed be proud of their heritage.

**AUTHOR:** John Richardson is a special advisor to global public affairs consultancy Fipra International

**MORE INFO:** www.fipra.com; www.espo.be
P&H considers where shipping lines will bunker the LNG-fuelled vessels that are starting to come online

It is looking increasingly likely that liquid natural gas (LNG) will be widely used as a fuel for ships, replacing diesel oil. The shipping industry is being forced to consider alternative options to the sulphur oxide-rich diesel oil through regulations being pushed through IMO’s Marpol Annex VI that set a sulphur oxide limit of 0.1% as of 1 January 2015. These regulations will affect emission control areas (ECA) in north America and north Europe. By 2020 vessels will be required to burn fuel with less than 0.5% outside an ECA.

At the moment there are only a handful of ports that offer LNG bunkers with around seven more being developed (see map). Singapore is one significant player due to enter the market this year. Current infrastructure in ports is limited to small scale bunker operations, and utilises bunker barges, either alongside or at anchorage, and trucks. LNG is moved from the LNG terminal to the ship often by road or waterway. Technology company Galileo has introduced the Cryobox Nano LNG station. It is a portable LNG liquefaction plant that the company claims can convert 7,000 gallons (32,000 litres) of natural gas vapour into liquid every day. This could be useful for smaller commercial operations such as ferries and offshore vessels, but future operations could include an LNG vessel berthing alongside an LNG terminal.

From the ship side, according to IHS Maritime’s database there are around 60 vessels that can or are being operated on LNG. These are mainly limited to barges and vessels on regular services, for example ferries in Scandinavian countries such as Finland and Sweden. However, an LNG-fuelled tug has recently been launched and in May, Rolls-Royce delivered an LNG-fuelled cargo ship to Norwegian company Eidsvaag. The Eidsvaag Pioneer will be used year-round to deliver feed to fish farms around the Norwegian coast. In early 2013, TOTE ordered two LNG-fuelled container vessels (see page 14), and more recently, US-based container
Developing LNG bunkering infrastructure

Hannah Kidd/Matt Ramsdale
Source: IHS

seeing it in short-sea roro/ropax markets, where the supply can be centralised”. He believes that the network will develop around the use of smaller bunker vessels. For example, on the Asia to Europe service, “a ship could refuel in China, top up in Singapore (bunker hub), again in the Persian Gulf, then on into Europe. I doubt all these ports will have the infrastructure; however, they may be served by bunker tanker.” He continued: “We already see bunker tankers filling up in one port, and then supplying ships in another location, so this could be the way in which the LNG bunker network is built up.” An example of this would be Zeebrugge, home to a major LNG import terminal, where LNG supply/bunker tankers could load, and then go off and bunker containerships in Le Havre, Dunkirk, Felixstowe and so on, and all within a day’s steaming for the supply ship.

The Clean Power for Transport package presented to the European Commission (EC) in January 2013 called on ports to have their bunkering facilities in place by 2020. To support ports the EC is considering subsidies to get infrastructure in place. Port of Gothenburg plans to open an LNG terminal in 2015 and looks set to receive an EU subsidy to provide LNG to shipping and industry. The port has signed a cooperation agreement with Port of Rotterdam on how LNG is handled and to speed up its update as fuel.

Port of Antwerp is also a contender for an EC subsidy for a facility that will mainly be used to set up an LNG bunkering station for barges. It has been offering LNG bunkers since December 2012. Port of Singapore has signed an agreement to cooperate on LNG bunkering procedures with Antwerp, along with Zeebrugge. Under the terms of the three-year agreement, the three ports will seek to harmonise their LNG bunkering procedures with the aim of promoting the wider acceptance of LNG as a bunker fuel for ships.

Lowell takes this idea one step further, saying: “Any port that wants to offer LNG bunkering will need to develop some strategic partnerships with both a fuel supplier and one or more vessel owners to act as ‘anchor tenants’ by committing to take a certain volume of fuel over a period of five years or more.”

\[PH\]

MORE INFO: wpci.iaphworldports.org

LNG bunkers infrastructure currently available

- Nynäsham, Sweden
- Gothenburg, Sweden
- Stockholm, Sweden
- Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- Antwerp, Belgium
- Port Fourchon, USA
- Risavika, Norway
- Halhejm, Norway
- Ågotnes, Norway
- Ålesund, Norway
- Lodingen, Norway
- LMoskenes, Norway
- LBodø, Norway
- Hammerfest, Norway

shipping company Matson Navigation ordered two 3,600 teu box ships that can use either normal bunker fuel or LNG in its main engine.

Ports are starting to respond to the investments shipping lines are making, but as Dana Lowell, senior consultant at US-based consultancy company MJ Bradley and Associates, told P&H, “There is a definite ‘first mover’ disadvantage due to high economic risk”. He believes that the move towards LNG will start with localised projects to serve specific vessels or companies.

IHS Maritime is currently carrying out a study that so far suggests that LNG bunkering facilities will be developed in ports that are close to current LNG terminal infrastructure. Sri Lanka Ports Authority, for example, is investing in an LNG terminal at Hambantota (see P&H Nov/Dec, page 36) and it has suggested that it may offer LNG bunkers too.

And so how will the LNG bunkers network develop? IHS Maritime’s Krispen Atkinson believes LNG may not be the fuel of choice for all markets, such as the spot market for bulk carriers and tankers, but for vessels on regular services such as containerships. He said “we are already
The 3,100teu, 233m (764ft) ‘Marlin Class’ dual-fuel vessels will operate in the US Jones Act market (which requires the use of US-flagged ships between US coastal ports) between Jacksonville, Florida, and San Juan, Puerto Rico. Construction of the vessels at General Dynamics’ Nassco Shipyard in San Diego is scheduled to begin in February.

Close on TOTE’s stern is rival Matson Navigation, which announced on 6 November it had signed a $418 million contract with Aker Philadelphia Shipyard for two LNG-powered containerships of its own. The 3,600teu, 260m (850ft) vessels – the largest Jones Act box ships ever to be constructed, according to Matson – are scheduled to be delivered in 3Q-4Q18.

While there is currently no LNG bunkering infrastructure in place for deepwater vessels at US ports, TOTE believes that this $350 million ‘green’ centric investment will help set a course for LNG as a marine fuel in the country. “All the LNG infrastructure pieces have been waiting for someone to make a commitment in order to have an anchor customer,” TOTE project manager Ben Christian told P&H. “Part of our strategy is to improve our vessel emissions. But it’s also to provide an impetus for other marine users, and to be a catalyst for LNG bunkering.”

Peter Keller, president of Sea Star Line, the TOTE sister company that will operate the new vessels in the Caribbean trade, explained that IMO pollution standards were a driving force in the decision to go LNG. International and domestic laws will require vessel operators to burn cleaner and costlier fuel when operating within a 200nm coastal emission control area (ECA). Coastwise vessels that spend significant amounts of time within those ECA zones will be susceptible to the higher costs. “We just felt the best way to solve those issues and conform to the regulations was to address the fuel,” Keller told P&H. “And there is no more environmentally appropriate fuel than LNG.”

Vessel operators are cracking the code on LNG bunkering at US ports, reports John Gallagher

The ability to bunker liquefied natural gas (LNG) at US ports is getting a significant jump start from a pioneering vessel operator. Totem Ocean Trailer Express, known as TOTE, will place into service, in late 2015 and early 2016, what are expected to be the world’s first LNG-powered containerships.

There is no more environmentally appropriate fuel than LNG

Peter Keller
President, Sea Star Line
He explained that the company is considering two bunkering options: using a bunker barge system or a pipeline/LNG liquefaction system. The latter would require a cryogenic pipeline with which to transport natural gas (which requires ultra-low temperatures for its liquid state) running from a liquefaction facility to the pier. “We have not yet made a decision yet as to the LNG vendor or the delivery methodology at this point,” Keller said.

LNG as a marine fuel has been particularly popular in Norway, where for the last 10 years it has been used in the passenger ferry sector. China has started a long-term LNG marine fuel programme in its domestic trade.

The US government is attempting to get on board as well. The US Maritime Administration (MarAd) is sponsoring a demonstration project involving a containership that will be repowered to use LNG as a propulsion fuel. MarAd is also funding research related to LNG fuel transfer, infrastructure, and training, which is slated to be completed this year.

The US is at a particular advantage when it comes to LNG as a result of ‘fracking’: a technology that allows producers to tap into pockets of fossil fuel sources that were beyond reach using conventional drilling. But US producers have so far been unwilling to make major investments that would allow LNG to be more accessible as a marine fuel source because of a lack of demand. And many vessel operators have been unwilling to invest in deepwater LNG-powered shipping assets due to a lack of LNG fuelling infrastructure – the classic ‘chicken and egg’ problem. That is where the port sector can play a role, according to one industry consultant.

“Ports can and should be a catalyst to spur LNG development throughout the transportation industries, since they are at the centre of marine activities in the US,” said John Graykowski, founder of Maritime Industry Consultants, and a former acting administrator at MarAd.

“Depending on the port, it may have a role in the siting, permitting, financing, development, or even operations of an LNG fuelling terminal.”

Aaron Ellis, a spokesman for the American Association of Port Authorities (AAPA), told P&H that while the association shares information about LNG trends with its members, it has not developed an official policy position on LNG infrastructure at ports, or on its use as an alternative fuel. There are regulatory hurdles to clear as well. The US Coast Guard is in the process of developing policy on LNG bunker barge design, as well as transfer operations and personnel training. “We’re looking for guidance from industry,” a Coast Guard spokeswoman revealed to P&H. TOTE, in the meantime, will be pushing on towards transforming to an all-LNG fleet operator. In addition to the new containerships, it is converting two ‘Orcas’-class diesel-electric trailerships to LNG. Nassco is designing the conversion of the ships. which have been operating on the US West Coast between Anchorage, Alaska, and Tacoma, Washington. PH

MORE INFO: www.totemocean.com

LNG headstart for Jaxport?

The Port of Jacksonville might become the first US East Coast port to get on board LNG bunkering, if an LNG company’s plans are successful. Newport Beach, California-based Clean Energy Fuels announced on 30 October it had placed a purchase contract on property on the St Johns River, where it hopes to build an LNG fuel terminal.

The site is roughly 3.2km (1.99 miles) upriver from the port. It could have the capability to produce about 300,000 gallons of LNG per day, according to the company. “This project could establish the Port of Jacksonville as a leader in maritime natural gas fuelling, and support the shipping industry as it follows other transportation segments in transitioning to natural gas,” said Clean Energy VP Brian Powers.

“It’s very encouraging to see companies looking seriously at LNG,” commented Sea Star Line’s Peter Keller. “Anything that increases the demand for LNG, and increases the permitting and construction of liquefaction facilities, is good for us.” PH

MarAd funding LNG schemes

The US government is doing its part in sparking container ship LNG use and creating the infrastructure to support it. The US Maritime Administration announced on 7 November that it will be giving $900,000 to Jones Act carrier Horizon Lines to help convert its Horizon Spirit to LNG. The 1980-built, 2,436teu containership operates between Long Beach, California, and Honolulu, Hawaii. The conversion is expected to be completed by late 2015.

MarAd is also providing $500,000 to class society DNV’s US subsidiary to analyse the challenges associated with bunkering, as well as installing the landside infrastructure needed for LNG. MarAd said the study will be completed by 1Q14.

Horizon Lines and DNV were chosen in a competitive process as part of the Obama Administration’s effort to enhance alternative fuel use. US Transportation Secretary Anthony Foxx said: “Fuel-efficient ships appeal to the maritime industry for the exact same reasons that fuel-efficient cars appeal to consumers – they’re easy on the environment and their pocketbooks.” PH

MORE INFO: www.totemocean.com
APMTerminals wants its facility at Maasvlakte 2 to be the most sustainable in the world

Construction of the first phase of the Port of Rotterdam’s Maasvlakte 2 expansion project was completed in May last year, delivered on schedule and an impressive €150 million ($201 million) under budget at €1.55 billion ($2.15 billion).

The facility increases the port’s available land by about 20%, a vital expansion given that the existing port and industrial area has virtually no room available. It has added 2,000ha of land, of which 1,000ha will be available to lease as business sites for container shipping terminals and by the chemical industries.

Extensive dredging work, carried out since 2008, was required to reclaim the site from the North Sea, as well as to widen the Yangtze Kanaal navigation channel to 600m to allow two mega container ships to pass each other side by side while a third is moored at the quay.

Two container terminals so far have been contracted to start business at Maasvlakte 2: Rotterdam World Gateway (RWG), a joint venture between stevedore DP World and the shipping lines New World Alliance and CMA CGM; and APM Terminal (APMT) Maasvlakte 2. Both will begin operations towards the end of 2014, a move that will simultaneously make Rotterdam Europe’s biggest container hub.

A third operator, ECT, which runs the latest facility at Maasvlakte, has contracted to build a terminal in the next few years.

Taken together, these factors will make Rotterdam a strategic force to be reckoned with long into the future, explained René van der Plas, director of project organisation at Maasvlakte 2. “What makes us unique is our extremely close connection to the sea,” he said. “It takes less than an hour to sail from the open sea to complete mooring. The Port of Rotterdam is ready for the future. Transport infrastructure links for road, rail and water were installed over a year ago. We have the land area available for the biggest container operations and for businesses to expand and we have the draught for the biggest ships.”

Rotterdam’s focus now is on attracting new business to the facility, which has been designed to accommodate three types of industry. Container terminal operators are expected to cover 600ha of the available 1,000ha by 2030-35, when Maasvlakte 2 is fully operational; about 300ha will be devoted to chemical industries; the remaining 100-150ha is for use by logistics depots, including empty container storage and distribution centres.

The opening of the RWG and APMT terminals this year is expected to unleash a fierce battle for cargo
in the Le Havre-to-Hamburg port range. Each facility will have a total 1,000m of deepsea quay wall able to berth two of the largest 400m-long Maersk Triple-E container ships simultaneously, with space available for future expansion. Each terminal will also have 500m of quay wall with 13m alongside depth for barges and feeder operations.

APMT will operate one of the most advanced and environment-friendly automated container terminals in the world, using a combination of all-electric vehicles and increased reliance on modal split, using barge and rail operations to deliver net-zero carbon emissions.

“We’re aiming to be the most sustainable container terminal in the world,” said Frank Tazelaar, MD at APMT Maasvlakte 2. “From the start, a key driver has been to design the terminal with improved modal split. The semi-automated rail terminal will be capable of transporting as much as 25% of land-side container volume, while the dedicated barge facility will be able to offer fast turnaround for up to 45% of land-side volume.”

In addition, all-electric-powered cranes, specially designed battery-powered lift automated guided vehicles (LAGVs), and other vehicles used to ferry personnel or technical services staff in and out of the terminal will help cut emissions.

“We’re testing the automatic exchange of battery packs on vehicles, which has gone well so far,” said Tazelaar. “We are also preparing a plan to procure all of our electricity [from] green power, at which point we will rightly be able to claim zero carbon emissions at our facility.”

With an anticipated annual capacity of 2.7 million teu in phase 1, by 2015 AMPT is aiming for a 25–50% increase in boxes handled per hour, compared with a conventional automated terminal run today.

To help achieve this hike in productivity, the world’s first double-trolley ship-to-shore gantry cranes will be deployed. They will be manufactured by Kalmar and run remotely by operators stationed 1.5km away at APMT’s main building.

The 37 Gottwald Lift AGVs will feature an integrated container lifting mechanism designed to enable them to place containers directly on to the stacking crane, thereby saving time. They will also service an on-dock rail terminal, a function that has only previously been carried out using manual transport in terminals. “At existing automated facilities, such as HHLA Container Terminal Altenwerder in Hamburg and Euromax Terminal Rotterdam, interaction between the stack and the rail terminal is carried out using manually operated transport,” said Tazelaar, “but we will automate that part of the process too.”

APMT will also operate 26 prototype automated rail-mounted gantry cranes built by Austrian firm Kuenz and designed to load/unload containers from lorry chassis’ and on to LAGVs for shuttling to and from the berth and rail terminal.

Although not all this terminal equipment has been delivered, AMPT has set up what it terms a ‘mini-terminal’ to test and de-bug equipment and processes in advance of launching the full-blown terminal next year.

“Now the civil construction side of things is complete, it’s about ensuring we test each component and components integrated together so they can talk to each other,” said Tazelaar. “We have the first operational personnel on site, so our system can start to learn their operational practice and procedures.

“It’s an integration challenge designed to find as many faults and bugs in the system as possible. We are fortunate in that most terminals allow three to four months for this process, but we have a whole year.”

Port of Rotterdam
Looking south… the site in April 2013

“My team and I are looking forward to being part of this once in a lifetime opportunity,” said René van der Plas, Director of project organisation, Maasvlakte 2.

MORE INFO: www.maasvlakte2.com
At the centre of the supply chain

London Gateway pins its hopes on its logistics park, reports Richard Halfhide for P&H

DP World London Gateway’s chief executive Simon Moore was keen to emphasise the “fantastic location” when the new 1,500 acre deep-water port and logistics park officially opened on 7 November. The MOL Caledon, a 4,931 teu containership that operates as part of the Southern Africa Express Container Service (SAECS) line, arrived late the night before. The efficiency of the fully automated facilities was underlined when the first freight train departed at 8am the following morning while the vessel continued to unload.

SAECS, a consortium comprising MOL, Deutsche Afrika-Linien and Maersk, is the only service currently signed up and the 40,000 teu/year it will bring scarcely dent London Gateway’s initial 1.6 million teu capacity. Earlier this year Moore claimed to have commitments amounting to 1 million teu.

At a reception to mark the opening Moore focused on the advantages London Gateway’s port centric philosophy can offer supply chains. Gateway’s primary target is 40% of the UK economy, and 15 million people, within a 50-mile reach in the south-east. Yet it is claimed transport infrastructure places Gateway closer to 73% of the UK market than principal rival Felixstowe, with a hinterland that stretches as far north as Manchester. DP World estimates that savings could reach $100-300 per container.

In addition to clearing 28 million m³ of material from the Thames Estuary, constructing a 50m-high quay wall, 1.3km quay and relocating 350,000 animals, the privately funded building programme has seen heavy investment in road and rail development. A three-lane roundabout will offset the increase in traffic, as well as new slip roads off the M25 – a superhighway that circulates the UK capital, London. A total of 25km of rail track has been laid and uniquely the port will operate with two racks from the outset.

“Fast, reliable and flexible transit is essential to ensuring that UK remains an attractive proposition to shipping lines as a direct call” said David Mawer, managing director of beverage importers JFHillebrand UK, whose containers were the first to be unloaded from the MOL Caledon.

Among the earliest acolytes of Gateway’s vision were Marks and Spencer, which is constructing a £200 million ($322 million) distribution centre near the front of the logistics park. “The other end of the park is 5km away. Every km you can take out of your supply chain is money straight to the bottom line” according to Moore. The retailer believes it can cut the time products take to reach consumers from three weeks to three days. PH
Kingston plan in its pivotal phase

The Jamaican port pushes through setbacks, as it positions itself to take advantage of the expanded Panama Canal

With its central Caribbean locale, Jamaica’s Kingston Container Terminal (KCT) is ideally positioned to take advantage of Panama Canal expansion. As a facility that can handle the larger containerships to traverse the region, KCT needs to tap its geographic potential when the widened waterway opens in late 2015.

The terminal’s expansion effort is finally moving forward. A pivotal component was the decision on its privatisation of the terminal, which is currently owned by the Port Authority of Jamaica (PAJ). In September, Jamaica’s Ministry of Transport revealed that three bidders had been short-listed: PSA International, Dubai Ports World, and Terminal Link (a joint venture between CMA CGM and China Merchants Holdings International). A final decision on the privatisation winner is expected in 2Q14.

CMA CGM signed a Memorandum of Understanding in May 2011 that called for it to invest $100 million in KCT in return for a 35-year lease, but that was never converted into a firm contract. Last year, the PAJ inked a separate MoU with China Harbour Engineering for a $400 million, 2 million teu/year greenfield terminal on the Fort Augusta property adjacent to KCT.

However, in April 2013 the Jamaican government conceded that China Harbour had rejected the Fort Augusta site as too small.

After such setbacks, “the best thing for the country is to move forward with the privatisation”, asserted Kim Clarke, president of the Shipping Association of Jamaica. “There have been delays in the process. Progress has been too slow.”

Another key aspect of preparing for Panama Canal expansion is the dredging of Kingston’s access channel to 17m. The Ministry of Transport announced in late October that pre-dredging technical studies were underway. “Dredging is the biggest thing that’s holding us back,” Clarke said. “What the government needs to do is dredge the channel to show the carriers that Jamaica is serious.”

What the government needs to do is dredge the channel to show the carriers that Jamaica is serious

Kim Clarke President, Shipping Association of Jamaica
A rebuilt terminal will ease cargo flow to catastrophe-struck island, writes **Greg Miller**

After the January 2010 earthquake, Port-au-Prince’s crippled terminal emerged as an iconic symbol of Haiti’s plight. The half-submerged crane, toppled containers and buckled quay highlighted just how vital ports truly are to a nation’s survival. Today, a new symbolic image is taking shape. After years of political wrangling and debate over alternate sites, the terminal in Port-au-Prince is finally being rebuilt on its original footprint, representing a positive step forward for both the port and the country.

Alix Celestin, general manager of Haitian port authority APN, said the definitive agreement for the project was signed in May, with work on the initial stage, known as Phase 0, to take 21 months.

The reconstructed terminal “will provide more efficient infrastructure and new equipment, bringing down the coast of trade”, affirmed Celestin.

The terminal project is being overseen by Madrid-based Alatec, with the building of Phase 0 being done by Miami-based GLF Construction, a subsidiary of Italy’s Grandi Lavori Fincosit. After the contract was awarded, GLF proceeded with final design work, a process that is now complete. As P&H went to press, the builders were on-site and the installation of the first piling was imminent, according to Edouard Baussan, president of the Shipping Association of Haiti.

Phase 0 is comprised of the reconstruction of the North Wharf, which will feature two berths for container ships totalling 400m and dredging to 11.5m (from 9.5m currently). APN is using its own funds to finance the $70 million Phase 0, although GLF originally bid higher.

Baussan explained that as part of the price compromise, it was agreed to scale back the length of the wharf from the originally proposed 450m.

There is also a possibility – given the price compromise – that only a portion of the basin may be dredged, although Baussan emphasised that “we are certainly pushing for the whole basin to be dredged”.

Longer-term plans call for two additional construction stages. Phase 1 would bring the North Wharf to 620m, adding a third box ship berth, and creating 550m of general-cargo berthing on the reconstructed South Wharf. Phase 2 would add a fourth container ship slot to the North Wharf, bringing berthage to 840m, and add a 160m dry bulk berth to the South Wharf. According to
The floating barges will be shifted during the construction process to allow an unimpeded cargo flow. Baussan also cited the possibility that the first 120m of the reconstructed North Wharf could be opened for container business prior to overall completion of Phase 0.

Haiti’s shipping association believes the new terminal project “will certainly be a strong marketing argument for all agents” and “may change the general negative perception that shipping lines have about port infrastructure in Port-au-Prince”. However, despite the new facilities, the group predicts volume growth will remain slow. Growth is expected to be constrained by continued weakness in the domestic economy as well as rampant smuggling.

“Formal import flows will increase significantly in the short term only if smuggling is stopped,” acknowledged Villard. “We estimate that between 20-40% of all imports enter our market through smuggling channels,” he added, explaining that “goods are smuggled through provincial ports and along the border with the Dominican Republic”.

On the economic front, Baussan conceded that “growth has remained at a very disappointing level of 2-3%. Aid-driven gains seen immediately after the earthquake dropped off once humanitarian resources were diverted elsewhere,” he explained, adding that competition with other nations for foreign direct investment is “stiff”.

Given the weak level of cargo growth and pervasive smuggling, Baussan believes that Phase 0 of the rebuilt North Wharf will accommodate Haiti’s needs for at least the next five years. “Obviously, this infrastructure has to be rebuilt,” he said. “We couldn’t continue with the temporary floating piers.”

“The port is extremely important to the country’s well-being,” continued Baussan. “You must have an efficient, competitive port to support the export industry and to attract serious foreign direct investment to the country. And after all of the politics around rebuilding this port, we’re finally seeing all of this effort coming to fruition.”

“The port is extremely important to the country’s well-being.”

Edouard Baussan
President, Shipping Association of Haiti

Shipping Association of Haiti president Edouard Baussan surveys damage at Port-au-Prince terminal after the January 2010 earthquake in Haiti. Baussan has been instrumental in pushing for the new terminal reconstruction project.

Meanwhile, a third party will be hired to manage the reconstructed terminal via a public-private partnership, according to Celestin. Crane equipment would be incorporated into the port operations tender and provided by the winning bidder. “The actual details have not yet been established,” said Baussan of the terminal management tender. He believes that the scope of the contract and the type of equipment to be incorporated will be discussed in the coming months.

The Port-au-Prince reconstruction plan has its roots in a design process that began prior to the earthquake. The original circa-1970s quays had structural issues and APN brought in Alatec to develop a plan to upgrade the facilities. “That Alatec study was ready before the earthquake,” Baussan recounted. “After the earthquake and all of its consequences, we fortunately had the Alatec study to use as a base to start identifying steps to rebuild the whole complex.”

Since the earthquake, Port-au-Prince has used a system of three floating docks to handle cargo. Demand and volume have returned to pre-earthquake levels, according to RVAM ship agency general manager Reginald Villard, speaking on behalf of the Shipping Association of Haiti. However, Villard pointed out that “the restriction on floating barges is the ability to use rubber-tyred gantry (RTG) cranes, thus posing a limitation for large gearless vessels”.

Pre-earthquake, productivity was 18-20 moves/hour using RTGs, said Villard. Post-earthquake, the barges are equipped with a Mantiwoc crane with average productivity of 12 moves/hour. However, Villard noted that the majority of vessels now calling in Port-au-Prince use ship’s gear, with productivity per crane at six to seven moves/hour and most vessels using two gangs for productivity of 12-14 moves/hour. When the rebuilt North Wharf debuts, “all vessels will have the opportunity to work with gantries and/or rubber-tyred cranes”, which “will improve vessel turnaround, thus reducing port costs for lines”, said Villard.

a presentation by Alatec, the total estimated budget of Phases 0, 1 and 2 is $220 million.

Phases 0, 1 and 2 is $220 million.
Energy investment at Zhoushan Port

China’s Brightoil Petroleum and ENN Group invest in oil and LNG facilities in Zhejiang province, writes Dexter Yan

Eastern China’s Port of Zhoushan has attracted two privately-run Chinese energy companies to build oil and LNG facilities, giving the port a bigger role in the regional energy shipping landscape.

Brightoil Petroleum, a Chinese bunker oil trader, has recently been granted government approval for a 300,000 tonne oil pier project at Zhoushan, involving a gross investment of Yuan 1.07 billion ($175 million), according to local government sources.

The plans for the oil pier comprise the provision of four berths with capacities of 300,000 tonnes, 100,000 tonnes, 50,000 tonnes and 20,000 tonnes and an overall length of 1,395m. Its handling capacity is expected to total 32.9 million tonnes (17.35 million tonnes for receiving oil and 15.55 million tonnes for loading oil) per year. The oil pier project is a key auxiliary part of the company’s oil storage facility under construction in Zhoushan.

In June, Brightoil announced plans for the first phase of this oil storage facility, with a capacity of 1.94 million m³, being built at a cost of Yuan 1.32 billion ($215 million). Brightoil Zhoushan Storage, a Zhoushan-based subsidiary of Brightoil, has contracted China Petroleum Pipeline Bureau to build the facility. Construction of the first phase is scheduled to be completed by the end of 2014, with work on the second phase – providing a storage capacity of 1.22 million m³ – expected to start in 1H2015.

The facility will store fuel oil, petroleum, diesel, jet fuel and chemical products. Construction work includes the provision of electricity, utilities, sewage treatment and heat insulation systems, a road and
Oil and LNG will play a bigger role at Zhoushan Port in the future

other ancillary works, auxiliary production and administration management facilities. It will enable the company to expand into the storage and related logistics business sector connected to petroleum products in China, Brightoil Petroleum said.

Earlier this year, ENN Group, a Chinese operator of LNG and CNG refuelling stations, reached an agreement with the Zhoushan government to implement a pilot project to supply LNG bunkers to ocean-going vessels, which was scheduled to begin at the end of 2013.

According to local government sources, ENN Group will invest about Yuan 5 billion ($822 million) to build the first phase of the project, to include an LNG terminal with a receiving capacity of 3 million tonnes per year. The first phase will also be matched with a berth for 80,000 to 266,000 m³ LNG tankers and another refuelling berth for LNG-powered vessels, which will also be capable of loading LNG on to 5,000 to 60,000m³ LNG refuelling vessels. Also part of this first phase will be two ro-ro vessel berths and two 160,000m³ LNG storage tanks. The project is planned to test launch and then to start receiving imported LNG in March 2016.

The second phase of the project – representing a further Yuan 2 billion ($329 million) of investment – will involve two 160,000m³ LNG storage tanks, with the receiving capacity of the LNG terminal being increased to 5 million tonnes per year. The second phase of the project is predicted to come on stream in 2022.

South Korea’s port of Busan is currently the biggest transhipment hub in northeast Asia. It seeks to maintain its lead in the region while expanding for future growth.

As a container port it will remain significant due to a variety of factors which give it an advantage, noted Busan Port Authority’s (BPA) international affairs manager Lee Eung-hyuk. “Busan Port is located in the middle of the main trunk route between the world’s largest and second-largest markets, the US and China, and unlike other competing ports in the region, Busan hardly ever closes due to bad weather.”

Lee explained that Busan provides connectivity with 358 weekly services connecting it with around 500 ports in more than 150 countries. Busan New Port, currently under construction, will lead to the provision of more container terminals and new piers for both feeder ships and a variety of cargoes.

Northeast Asia is considered to be one of the most economically vibrant regions in the world. “In 2005, 57% of the world’s exports and 47% of the world’s imports were generated by the Asia and Pacific regions and these numbers are expected to increase 10% by 2015,” Lee noted.

But there are also challenges, one of which is the expansion of carrier alliances. Lee explained that as many as 20 top carriers “eagerly participate” in alliances, giving them strong negotiating powers. Busan has 11 terminal operators and so can offer these users cost reductions. “Busan already offers some of the cheapest terminal handling charges (THCs) and port dues compared to other ports in the region.” Busan’s lower THCs in comparison with ports in China and Japan makes transshipment more cost-effective at the port, highlighted Ki-tack Lim, president of the BPA. Dredging to provide increased depth at Busan New Port, to enable it to receive ultra large container vessels, is also taking place. Many of the port’s clients are currently ordering mega-sized ships to take advantage of economies of scale.

To remain northeast Asia’s busiest port, one of the BPA’s main efforts is based around providing a more efficient inter terminal transport system, terminal expansion and dredging in Busan New Port and the introduction of four new 50m-high cranes, with a reach of 23 rows. However, due to the economic slowdown, Busan might have difficulty in reaching its target of 18 million teu this year, said Lim. In 2012, Busan reported a total throughput of 17 million teu. From January to October this year, it achieved a throughput of 14.8 million teu.
The Port of Cork is the main port serving the South of Ireland, and the second largest geographical harbor in the world, and as such faces a constant battle to prevent silt from building up in its navigation channel.

Where most ports typically deploy dredgers, or large excavators working from barges, to remove sediment and keep channels clear, natural conditions in Cork Harbour make it more practical and cost effective to use a seabed ploughing technique.

Silt is transported down the River Lee and into the harbor basin where it settles over time, narrowing and reducing the depth of the channel.

So, during the cold months from November to February, the port mobilises its 20m-long multi-cat utility vessel MV Denis Murphy, which drags a mechanical sea plough along the seabed to raise silt into the water column, where the actions of the tidal flow and river currents wash it out to sea.

This year, to make the operation run more efficiently, the port authority decided to engage marine consultant SEA-Tech and marine ICT provider Succorfish to carry out a six month trial using an innovative monitoring system designed to accurately map areas covered by the seabed plough in real time.

“Where previously ploughing activity was recorded onboard the vessel using plotting equipment, it was not possible to monitor it in real time from the shore,” explains Tom Rossiter, head of marine at Succorfish. “The old system also made it difficult to discern ploughing activity from other duties, but now Cork has increased visibility and confidence in the data being collected.”

Succorfish fitted the Denis Murphy with a radio frequency ID scanner on its heavy duty winch and plugged it into a SC2 vessel monitoring system (VMS), which produces charts showing the channels the vessel has ploughed over the season.

The backbone of the new system is SeaFi, a 15 megabyte per second marine wireless network developed by SEA-Tech, covering the navigational areas of Cork Harbour and up to 10km off Roche’s Point at the south eastern tip of the harbor. As part of its contract with the port, SEA-Tech also installed Wi-Fi on board the Port of Cork-owned vessels, MV Denis Murphy and MV Gerry O’Sullivan.

Critically, the Wi-Fi network enables SC2 chart information to be sent to computers used by staff onshore as well as to the crew’s mobile devices so they can monitor dredging progress on the move. The system also offers 100% data security as users can only access information via a password protected online interface.

An innovative real-time vessel tracking system is helping the Port of Cork to plan and execute its dredging campaigns more efficiently: Stephen Cousins reports
Wi-Fi was installed on board the Port of Cork’s vessel, MV Denis Murphy, so that the sea ploughing being carried out could be monitored ashore in real time.

“In most ports, the master of the ship would not be able to see the output of the SC2 tracer located aboard. But Cork’s wireless network will enable both Master Alan Vaughan and his crew to follow and plan their work in real time,” says Rossiter.

Arnaud Disant, professional services consultant (IT) at SEA-Tech added: “VMS goes hand in hand with marine data communications and enables ships to send information on their current status using a private system (non-public), meanwhile, it also allows ship owners, here the port authority, to make educated decisions about that information in real time.”

The project is initially expected to run for six months, after which the port will review the data and assess its impact on plough dredging operations, as well as consider other potential applications for the technology within the business.

For example, another proven feature is the SC2’s geofence facility, which is designed to manage access to certain areas by alerting the operator by email or text message when a vessel enters a forbidden zone. SC2 also includes sector specific features such as e-log data reporting, SOS emergency alarm, anti-hijack alert, crew safety systems and deep sea thermal sensor attachments for fishing gear.

Simulating impact of big box ships

A cutting edge ship bridge simulator is being used by a maritime research institute in Mexico to access the impact of mega container ships on existing ports’ hydrodynamics, sediment transport and permanent structures.

The multi-purpose Polaris simulator, manufactured by Kongsberg Maritime, has been installed by the Instituto Mexicano del Transporte (IMT), the leading research centre for ports and coasts in Mexico, for use at its new research facility in Queretaro. Polaris has been adapted to simulate various hydrodynamic ship models in Mexican navigable waters and shore lines covering the ports of Lázaro Cárdenas, Manzanillo, and Veracruz.

“From an applied research standpoint, the simulator will help IMT conduct feasibility and risk assessments in advance of constructing new terminals designed to accommodate bigger ships,” said Henry Tremblay, president of Kongsberg Maritime Simulation. “The simulator will help them assess the level of effort required for an existing port to safely and efficiently accommodate larger ships or to test bed completely new port/terminal designs.”

Parameters likely to be assessed include required channel width and depth, requirements for transit escort and berthing tugs, manoeuvring space within the confines of a port, including the required size of turning basins, the effect of very large vessels passing in close proximity to vessels secured to docks and loading cargo, and the effects of different environmental and weather conditions on operations.

The first contracted project, at the Port of Lázaro Cárdenas, is currently using the simulator to assess all aspects of port capacity including accommodating Triple-E class container ships carrying over 18,000 teu. When P&H went to press a preliminary report was due at the end of 2013. PH
New paradigm for storm planning

*Dr Austin Becker* believes that all stakeholders should play their part in increasing port resilience to climate change.

The world depends on ports: they serve as the backbone to development, facilitating the transport of critical energy supplies, raw materials, and finished products. We also depend on our ports for jobs – US ports alone employ 13 million people – and play a vital role in almost all aspects of the economy. However, global warming and resulting changes to environmental conditions on the world’s coasts mean we have to rethink the future of this vital infrastructure.

Climate scientists project that sea levels will rise dramatically and storms such as Superstorm Sandy, Hurricane Katrina, and now Super Typhoon Haiyan will become more frequent as the planet warms. Historical sea levels and storm patterns have served as the benchmark for most coastal infrastructure, and we have designed ports based on these conditions. Many ports will find themselves exposed to higher levels of risk as a result of rising seas.

As businesses, ports have strong incentives to tackle these climate changes. Storms result in operational delays and huge costs from damage and cleanup. In a survey conducted in partnership with IAPH, we found that port operators are very concerned about these climate change challenges. In fact, 80% of survey respondents agreed that the port community needed to address this problem head on.

In the past, ports planned for storm resilience in a compartmentalised fashion: engineers and consultants developed construction guidelines, while the local coastguard or emergency management agency worked with the port to ensure safe navigation and to protect lives. Most ports have evacuation and storm preparation procedures designed to minimise damage, but what will happen as sea levels rise and storms become more intense and more frequent? What types of impacts should we expect and where? What can be done to build resilience? During the past five years I have worked with the ports community to explore answers to these questions. I conducted interviews with 57 stakeholders in two US ports which are highly exposed to hurricanes – Gulfport, Mississippi, on the Gulf coast and Providence, Rhode Island, on the east coast. I spoke to port officials, government representatives, insurance representatives, port tenants, environmental groups, emergency responders, and many other stakeholders.

Results from these case studies show how the impact of a major storm at a port can ripple across the whole network of stakeholders, causing structural damage and leading to indirect costs and consequences difficult to put into financial terms (see diagram opposite). An
analysis of costs revealed that while the port operator picks up the tab for much of the direct damage and cleanup after such storms, society absorbs many of the indirect costs and other less tangible consequences. For example, port contractors and tenants can lose business, while capital improvement plans may get derailed. Workers can lose jobs. Local residents can find their properties piled with debris. The government might spend billions on cleanup. Also, the marine ecosystem around the port may become polluted. The government’s response to the disaster can also be delayed if the port is unavailable as a staging ground for recovery or a means for landing relief supplies.

Between the 57 interviews and a review of 22 planning and policy documents in these two case studies, I identified 128 storm resilience strategies for ports, which I organised into seven categories, ranging from changes to building codes and land-use regulations, to longer-range planning beyond 20 years, and better construction and design for buildings. Respondents also talked about changes to insurance policies and resilience plans for individual tenants. They discussed the robust emergency response plans already in place. But they also suggested many softer strategies, such as more research, stronger networks between stakeholders, and a need for a whole new way of thinking about resilience.

When I compared each of these 128 unique strategies with the responsibilities, jurisdictions and mandates of the various stakeholders of the port, I found that there are many ways for all stakeholders to build resilience into their planning. Options for the port operator include: insisting on stronger building construction; developing more robust emergency response or evacuation plans; elevating infrastructure; and building storm barriers.

However, port operators do not need to go it alone. All stakeholders should invest in the common goal of a more resilient port. For example, community and residential groups could help generate the political will necessary for government investment in storm barriers; research and academic institutions can conduct impact assessments and work with ports to determine best practices; government agencies can include system-level planning that addresses the port in the context of its multi-modal connections. Long-range co-ordinated planning involving many stakeholders can foster a stronger sense of unity around the common goal of port resilience.

Stakeholders noted the importance of leadership in implementing resilience strategies. In many cases, leadership can come from the port operator, as its business-oriented mission warrants new investment in storm resilience. But since stakeholder goals vary considerably and do not always align, a more neutral organisation may be more appropriate to lead a resilience campaign.

So-called ‘boundary organisations’ bridge industry with government and research by creating forums for information-sharing and co-ordination. Many such organisations exist, including the National Sea Grant Office in the United States, the World Ocean Council, and the European Commission’s Joint Research Centre. Many have already started to tackle the climate change challenge for various sectors of the economy, including ports.

Sea levels will continue to rise by several more metres during the coming centuries: the question is not ‘if it will’, but rather ‘how quickly?’ Ports in low-lying areas and those with a history of strong storms will be affected sooner. But, ultimately, all ports will need to adjust. Climate change requires a new paradigm for resilience planning for ports and for the stakeholders who depend on them. Ports can, and should, begin planning for rising seas and more storm events. But with risk levels increasing for all stakeholders, a more holistic approach can serve the interests of the port and the community in which it operates.

Stakeholders outside of the port authority need to better understand what is at stake when the next storm devastates the port, from the cost of rebuilding to the impact on the estuary, and everything in between. They also need to work with the port to find ways to reduce the consequences of sea level rise and super storms on society.

**Author:** Dr Austin Becker is an assistant professor of coastal planning, policy, and design at the University of Rhode Island. He recently earned his PhD from Stanford University, where he conducted his research on climate adaptation for ports.

**More Info:** abecker@uri.edu
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A greater threat than ship emissions?

Dust created through cargo handling can be more damaging to the environment than other emissions generated in ports, one Dutch port believes.

What is PM10?

Particulate matter that is 10 microns (micrometres) in diameter or less is called PM10. Of particular concern are particles that are 2.5 microns or smaller in diameter (PM2.5) because they can lodge deep in the lungs, and cause respiratory and cardiac problems.

Exposure to PM2.5 can cause health effects such as coughing, wheezing, shortness of breath, aggravated asthma, lung damage, including decreased lung function and lifelong respiratory disease, and premature death in individuals with existing heart or lung diseases.

Much of the attention on reducing air pollution in ports has focused in recent years on emissions, particularly ships at berth. Yet in terms of particulate matter, studies in the Port of Amsterdam have revealed that industrial facilities and the storage and movement – including loading and unloading – of bulk commodities characteristically produce much higher concentrations of PM10 than ships at berth or in operation.

“Manoeuvring of ships is not a source of PM10, and even ships at berth produce much lower levels of PM10 concentration than areas where bulk commodities are stored and handled,” Ton van Breemen, environmental manager at the Port of Amsterdam, told the GreenPort Congress in Antwerp in October last year.

In 2008, the Port of Antwerp commissioned a best of available techniques (BAT) study into the storage and handling of dry bulk goods after research by the Flemish Institute for Technological Research’s Vision on Technology (VITO) unit highlighted the significant impact of dust emissions from commodities such as coal and grain on air quality in densely populated areas close to the port.

The BAT study was not made public but the measures to reduce dust emissions were summarised in a Dutch language manual at www.emis.vito.be – a website dedicated to setting out measures to reduce environmental pollution, save energy and water, and reduce waste in production processes.

Peter Stouthuysen, a researcher into dust reduction techniques at VITO, summarised the report’s findings at the GreenPort Congress. “Techniques are grouped according to two main categories: storage or handling,” he said. “A further subdivision is made between primary and secondary measures. Primary measures directly affect those parameters that influence the formation of fugitive dust.”

The main parameters are: drift sensitivity of dry bulk goods, their drop height, fall velocity – which generally depends on drop height – and exposure to wind.

“The drift sensitivity of the dry bulk goods can be reduced by wetting the product, but this measure is only applicable if moistening does not affect
In 2012, VITO published a manual on dust emission reduction techniques for the storage and handling of dry bulk goods. This guide consists of 46 fact sheets describing the applicability, environmental impact, economic feasibility of techniques, and good practices that reduce the formation of dust resulting from storage or handling of dry bulk goods.

Techniques are grouped according to their applicability: storage or handling. A further subdivision is made between primary and secondary measures. In total, the guidebook covers 36 primary measures and 10 secondary measures.

The VITO study focuses on techniques specifically applicable to port activities related to dry bulk storage and handling. Additional information and feedback for the study was provided by several bulk handling companies as well as the various Flemish port authorities.

Based on the information in this guidebook, Flemish legislation relating to environmental safety was amended in January 2013 with new regulations regarding the storage and handling of bulk goods.

Van Breemen said that the Port of Amsterdam’s Air Quality Tool, together with the units monitoring particulate matter, NOx and other emissions located around the port and its industrial areas, were vital in helping to identify sources of air pollution and respond in a timely fashion to unwanted situations.

The port’s Air Quality Tool not only calculates present and future air quality but it can also show the effects of reduction measures and predict the effects of future developments such as new industries and intensification of land use. “It is also a useful tool to have available during spatial planning to decide which areas near the port are suitable for housing development,” Van Breemen added.
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To reduce drop height and fall velocity it is important to apply good handling practices – as well as other technical measures – when manipulating grabs and mobile loading devices such as frontloaders, and when unloading trucks and wagons. Exposure to wind can raise dust from, for example, storage heaps. The siting of wind protection measures, such as windbreak fences, can reduce this impact. Commodities that are extremely drift sensitive should be stored, if possible, in enclosed spaces, where handling should also take place.

Secondary measures do not reduce the formation of dust but cut emissions, for example the housing of transfer points, in some cases combined with the use of dust extraction technology. Secondary measures are applied if primary measures are insufficient or not applicable.
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In the second article in this series, Diane Edwards, Chair of the Women’s Forum and General Manager, People and Processes at Ports of Auckland, considers unconscious discrimination against women in management.

There are already many women in maritime management, but it soon becomes clear that for many, the road to success has been through corporate roles rather than operational ones. By this, I mean that they tend to have come from backgrounds in law, communications, public relations, IT, human resources, and accounting and finance.

However, this raises the question of where are the female executives who started as stevedores, dispatchers, pilots, mariners, engineers or logisticians? There are some out there, but you have to look hard and spread your net wide. Although many male executives have also risen to management via the corporate path, I feel fairly confident in saying that most, if not all, executive teams have at least one man on it with either port or vessel operational experience.

The relatively low number of women working in operational areas can be partially attributed to the perception that men will resist being managed by women. Stereotyped comedy images of cold, dominating women terrorising weak, passive men have done nothing to help this.

Another reason is the perception from some women themselves that they may not fit easily into a managerial role. They recognise that such roles bring higher responsibility and demands on time, leaving some feeling that may not be able to balance these positions with their family commitments. Because of this, many will discount themselves from promotion.

Sadly, this leads some men to decide that women won’t be interested in taking on more responsibility. They therefore put less effort into developing women or look on them less favourably when the time comes for promotion. Here, discrimination takes on the guise of trying to protect the women, when it is in fact disadvantaging them.

Perhaps the most common reason for not identifying female leadership talent falls into the unconscious discrimination basket. Often leaders are sought internally from the team itself, the criteria usually being, a good performance record, experience in the job, teamwork skills, and so on. Unfortunately many of those making recruitment decisions fail to understand the factors that truly indicate that someone, whether male or female, is able to make the jump from non-management to management, or from frontline management to a strategic leadership position. The skills needed to lead others are quite different from those needed to be a high performer in a team. For example, the top performing stevedore may not make the best terminal manager. A different skill set is required and this can make it difficult to predict if someone can make the jump.

Ports of Auckland has been undergoing transformational change over the past two years. Ensuring that we had the right managers in place to lead the new-look organisation was a key part of this. Ports...
of Auckland carried out extensive research to identify leadership potential. This was then used to establish a baseline requirement that aligned with the new culture we were building. We then sought to recruit based on ‘learning agility’. This term is a trademark of Lominger International, which was created by leadership research gurus Michael M Lombardo and Robert W. Eichinger. I believe it is the most reliable predictor of potential, eclipsing even intellectual capacity or past performance.

As discussed in The Leadership Machine: Architecture to Develop Leaders for Any Future (Lombardo and Eichinger, 2000), ‘learning agility’ is an attribute that enables learning from experience to deliver superior results in future situations. It consists of a number of factors covering the way they think, relate to others, manage change and deliver results. Rather than focusing on previous experiences, it includes a passion for new ideas, the ability to synthesise information, and to process ideas in new and innovative ways. The willingness to experiment with new ideas, turn these into practical solutions, explore the ‘what-ifs’, and manage any negative aspects are key to ensuring successful execution. It also encompasses the ability to communicate and sell ideas, see things from a range of perspectives, debate and negotiate fairly, manage conflict, and inspire and help others to succeed. All these qualities should combine to deliver results, and allow the candidate to perform well under first time conditions, inspire others and drive through barriers to achieve outcomes.

Using learning agility to assess staff for leadership positions brought about something unexpected. We found that a number of women suddenly became visible as candidates. In some cases, they had been in the port for many years but had been overlooked in the past for senior positions. Their record of demonstrating the factors promoted by learning agility meant they were now on an equal footing with their male counterparts.

I should also mention that this same process located a number of men who had also been overlooked. In effect it provided an objective, unbiased measure. A further benefit was that, by definition, learning agility frequently involves challenging the status quo and we found some people who had been previously overlooked for having too many new ideas. Whereas they might previously have been known as trouble makers, they are now being reclassified as innovators and future leaders.

The upshot is that we have a fresh leadership team that now includes a number of dynamic leaders, including several women. We were able to achieve this without resorting to filling quotas or using positive discrimination. Look for the right qualities, and women can compete on equal terms.

To finish, I must also mention that this focus on appointing the right leaders was followed by an extensive investment in leadership development. We are now seeing the benefits coming through, with the ports reaching record productivity levels for New Zealand and we are now on target to reach our ambitious financial goals. PH

MORE INFO: see Women’s Forum on page 38
Regulation needs for OPS

Participants at a workshop on onshore power supply (OPS) in Antwerp warned that without stronger regulation, OPS technology could fail to develop beyond the larger north European ports that have already made the capital investment.

“For the first time during this workshop, those attending recognised that without stronger regulations, OPS technology may not be further developed in European ports and emissions will not be reduced,” Lorene Grandidier of OPS supplier Schneider Electric told Ports & Harbors.

The call for mandatory regulation was echoed by Even Husby, project manager of Clean North Sea Shipping, who spoke during the GreenPort Congress last October. Husby added that to level the playing field the same tax regime should be introduced for electricity as there currently is for gas oil, noting that an emissions tax should also be introduced in order to encourage ship owners to switch to cleaner fuels. While many of the estimated 24 OPS installations being set up in northern Europe have opted for high-voltage (HV) systems, the first Spanish port to install plug-ins for its ro-ro vessels (see story below) has opted for low voltage (LV).

Estimated voltage for ship types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vessels</th>
<th>Low voltage</th>
<th>High voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Container &lt;140m</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Container &gt;140m</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ro-Ro</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil tankers</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise ships &lt;200m</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise ships &gt;200m</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notable numbers

17m desired depth of Port of Kingston’s access channels

12 approximate number of LNG bunker facilities available to vessels

No standard voltage yet for OPS

European ports are unlikely to settle on a standard onshore power supply (OPS) voltage in the near term, if at all. Most of the estimated 24 OPS installations being set up in northern Europe have opted for high voltage, while the first OPS system at a Spanish port has decided that low voltage offers clear advantages.

Port of Melilla introduced OPS for its ro-ro vessels to reduce night time noise at the city centre port. “There is currently no standard for this kind of connection; ports will adapt their solution to the environment in which their ships operate,” Sara Blanco Monge, an electrical engineer involved in setting up onshore power for Melilla Port Authority said at the recent GreenPort Congress in Antwerp.

“After weighing up the pros and cons, the decision was made to design the [Melilla] project with a low-voltage connection system,” said Blanco Monge. High voltage systems operate typically above 1,000 volts, while low voltage systems range up to that value from as low as 50 volts.
Onshore power first for Spain

The north African enclave of Melilla is the first Spanish civil port to install onshore power for its scheduled ro-ro services, and is among the first OPS ports in the Mediterranean. Sara Blanco Monge, a civil engineer with state-owned Spanish company ISDEFE, said the port had contacted the company because the strong growth of maritime traffic in the port during the past 10 years had had a negative effect on the local environment. The total number of ships calls, mostly ro-ros, had increased by nearly 44% from 2002-12, she said during a presentation at Antwerp’s GreenPort Congress in October.

In particular, the port authority was aware of the discomfort to people living around the port when ships berthed during the night, causing noise and vibration. This problem was exacerbated in summer time, when people habitually leave their windows open.

The port authority commissioned ISDEFE to project design the installation of onshore power installations on its three ro-ro piers. All ships studied had an electrical ship-to-shore connection working in 380V and 50Hz. With the exception of the ro-ro ferry Volcán del Timanfaya, all of them required an electrical retrofit to use the onshore power equipment.

After weighing the pros and cons, the decision was reached to design the project for a low-voltage connection system (see story on p32). “ISDEFE already has experience in military ports which use low-voltage system with excellent results, for example the Rota Naval Base in Cadiz,” Blanco Monge told GreenPort delegates. For the three piers, the total cost of installation is estimated at just under €1,000,000 ($1,340,000).

As regards NOx, carbon and particulate matter emissions, the reduction using onshore power is estimated at over 90%. “The project is now completed and ro-ros ships now have the possibility of shutting down their auxiliary engines when berthed in Melilla port. It is the first Spanish civil port providing this service,” she added.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High voltage</th>
<th>Low voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less cables to connect ship, quicker operations</td>
<td>More cables to supply same power, slower operation. Low-voltage cables offer increased security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardised according to IEC/ISO/IEEE 8005-1</td>
<td>Fully proven use in military ports — standardised by NATO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ship retrofit more expensive</td>
<td>Retrofit cheaper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualified personnel needed to operate with high voltage</td>
<td>Operating personnel do not need special authorisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quayside installation simple and easy to operate and maintain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ISDEFE power point presentation

Melilla: high versus low

The estimated number of OPS installations being implemented in northern Europe

$70M the cost of the first phase to re-build Port-au-Prince in Haiti

24
Northern Sea Route usage rises

Around a third more ships had used the Northern Sea Route (NSR) at the end of November last year than in the whole of 2012, it has been revealed. Sixty-three ships had used the NSR to transport cargoes from Russia and Europe to the Far East, compared with 46 in 2012.

Speaking at IBC Asia’s LNG Shipping conference in Singapore in November, Arctic Bulk director Marco Graziotto said this is due to lower sailing duration and better security. From Murmansk to Japan, the voyage takes 18.1 days through the NSR, compared with 37.1 days through the Suez Canal. A Rotterdam-Far East voyage takes 23 days through the Northern Sea, 10 days fewer than through the Suez Canal.

Arctic Bulk provides consultancy and assistance to ship owners wishing to use the route.

Graziotto dismissed talk that the ice caps are disappearing, pointing to charts showing how the ice tend to be the lowest during summer in the Northern Hemisphere. However, ships en route need ice pilotage that depends on ice conditions.

He believes attitudes have changed since his firm first put forward the idea in 2007. “It was hard to get support then, until Russian authorities decided the route should be open to international shipping and the first vessel transited in 2010.”

Ballast water push at IMO

IMO’s assembly adopted a variety of resolutions at its meeting during November and December. Among these was resolution A.1088(28), which focuses on the International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships’ Ballast Water and Sediments. This convention has yet to be implemented, although enough countries have signed up, they do not represent at least 35% of the world fleet. The resolution adopted at the IMO assembly recommends that the original deadlines should be pushed back.

It also recommends that ships constructed before the entry into force of the convention will not be required to comply with regulation D-2 until their first renewal survey after the convention has entered into force. Regulation D-2 is concerned with Ballast Water Performance Standards and details the size and volume of organisms that should be discharged when conducting ballast water management. Regulation B-3 concerns ballast water management for ships, and includes the dates when the convention should be implemented – but these dates have now passed.

The resolution adopted at IMO wants to see a realistic time frame for the ballast water performance standard to enter into force, and suggests that ships be allowed a year to comply after the convention is activated.

ECSA concerned at EU port regulation

European shipowners’ body ECSA has expressed concern over a European Parliament proposal to exclude pilotage and dredging from the scope of the European Commission’s proposed regulation on market access to port services.

The proposal is contained in the draft report on the proposed regulation prepared by the parliamentary rapporteur, German MEP Knut Fleckenstein, and published in November. ECSA said that with cargo handling and passenger services already excluded from the commission’s original proposal, there would be few port services left within the scope of the proposed regulation if parliament approved the new exclusions.

“We fail to understand why costly port services such as cargo handling, passenger handling and pilotage should be excluded from basic market rules,” said ECSA secretary general Patrick Verhoeven.

“The framework proposed by the regulation does not go far enough for blind liberalisation but allows port authorities to select service providers and set limitations if so required for safety reasons or public service obligations.” ECSA said it would now prepare a response to the draft report.

Notable numbers

80% the percentage of those who, in response to a survey, agreed that ports need to tackle climate change head-on

0.1 micrometres – or less – the diameter of a particle that defines it as particulate matter
The European Union will put forward €11.2 million (US$15.3 million) to research the possibility of methanol as a fuel for maritime transport in the future. The funding will be part of the TEN-T programme and be used to conduct a study followed by real-life trials into the substance. It will consider how methanol could become an environmentally friendly and cost-effective fuel. The initiative also contributes to the realisation of the ‘Motorways of the Sea’ (TEN-T Priority Project 21) concept, said a statement from the European Commission.

Germany, Sweden and Finland will be taking part in the project, which involves the installation and testing of methanol on an existing passenger vessel operating on the short sea route between Gothenburg, Sweden and Kiel, Germany. In addition to retrofitting the vessel, the test phase will also create the appropriate port infrastructure for the supply of methanol for bunkering. A bunker vessel and a storage tank will be built in both ports, noted the statement. The study is set to be completed by December 2015.

Singapore: A secure anchorage

With piracy and armed robbery a persistent problem in many anchorages around the world, some countries have started to declare that their anchorages are secure. “Off the coast of West Africa, now the focus of a lot of discussion on its increasing problems with piracy and armed robbery, Togo, Benin and Nigeria have now declared a number of safe anchorages for ship-to-ship (STS) operations,” maritime security expert Dr Dave Sloggett told P&H. These countries, it would seem, are trying to take specific measures to address the maritime security challenges that exist in the Gulf of Guinea, he explained. These measures, however, are only a start, he believes, and further investment in naval and coastguard forces will be required before these safe areas can be extended.

“To find a great example of a secure anchorage, one only has to look at Singapore,” Sloggett said. “For a country with one of the largest ports and most complex anchorages in the world, it is a testament to their diligence and approach to security that no vessel has reported an incident in Singapore’s port area in the last two years.” Sloggett has taken a careful look at where robberies and pirate attacks do occur in the wider Singapore Straits and told P&H that those involved in attacks on merchant vessels go out of their way to avoid taking any action in waters that belong to Singapore.

The patterns of attacks occur on the fringe of Singapore’s agreed territorial boundary with Indonesia to the west. These boundaries were subject to an agreement that was initially agreed in 1973 and ratified by both countries within the year. A small change to the western end of the boundary was also agreed in 2009. The definition of the eastern extent of the boundary is still ongoing and may also involve Malaysia in the near future, said Sloggett.

Inside this boundary, on a typical day between 200-250 vessels lie at three main anchorages awaiting their opportunity to enter the harbor. A small number are engaged in STS operations, which always increases their vulnerability to attack as the crew can be distracted by their duties.

The sheer density of the vessels in the anchorage provides a degree of mutual self-protection, said Sloggett. “But in addition to that, Singapore also has a very effective maritime security operation which is helped by its large and highly proficient naval and coastguard forces,” he added. “These maritime assets are backed up by a clear regime of legal sanctions that can be applied to anyone involved in piracy or armed robbery against merchant vessels. This combination of a tough sanctions regime, coupled with highly visible patrols both at day and night, make the anchorage at Singapore arguably the safest in the world.”

Ships at Singapore’s eastern anchorage

2016

the cost of converting Horizon Spirit to take LNG bunkers

the year Port of Zhoushan will test launch its LNG bunker facilities

$900,000
The three-day event was kick-started with the regional meeting which was chaired by IAPH First Vice-President Santiago García-Milà of Port of Barcelona. The first item on the agenda was the election of third vice-president to represent the Africa/Europe region for the term of 2015-17, to replace Garcia-Milà when he steps up to president of the organisation. IAPH legal counsellor, Frans van Zoelen from Port of Rotterdam said that the election process will be initiated in mid-2014.

Next, Fer van de Laar of the IAPH Europe Office gave an update of his international liaison activity and stressed that there is much room left for IAPH to co-operate with other international trade associations such as the International Association of Dredging Companies (IADC) in organising seminars and workshops together.

Kris De Craene, Port of Antwerp, Belgium, who represents IAPH at PIANC (World Association for Waterborne Transportation Infrastructure) with regards to environment and dredging matters, reported that PIANC’s Environmental Commission (Envicom) is now developing a comprehensive and uniform guidance for ports to draft and publish a sustainability report.

Yossi Bassan from Ashdod Port Company, Israel, and chair of the Port Operations & Logistics Committee presented the outcome of an IAPH survey on port performance indicators (PPI). The study was conducted early 2013 and monitored IAPH member ports’ and terminals’ current situation in measuring container terminal operation efficiency using PPI.

The port forum was held the following day under the banner ‘Our ports of the future – developing the potential’. The event was opened by Freek Ossel, aldermen and vice mayor of the City of Amsterdam. In his welcome speech, he noted that as the port must be sustainable and yet profitable, it is given certain leeway to act on its own like an independent state.

Next to speak was Port of Amsterdam’s president and CEO and host of the event, Dertje Meijer. She emphasised the importance of enterprise culture in managing a port business and consequently Amsterdam has been successful in its efficient and smart use of land. She then set the stage for a debate on co-operation between Africa and Europe adding...
Amsterdam is known for its eclectic mix of architecture... delegates came together at the Barbizon Palace Hotel. Far left, Port of Amsterdam’s president and CEO, Dertje Meijer, welcomes delegates.

that Amsterdam has traditionally been tied to Africa, for instance, as a major storage place for cocoa imported from Africa and, therefore, suggested that Amsterdam is an ideal place to discuss cooperation between Africa and Europe. In closing Meijer asked how we should build the port of the future and proposed that the keyword should be cooperation and value creation noting that all ports stand to benefit from each other, create partnerships, and facilitate value creation towards greater prosperity.

Gichiri Ndua, MD of Kenya Ports Authority, spoke next and asserted that African ports are adapting to changes in the face of unprecedented long-term economic growth. Ndua pointed out that there are a number of challenges for African ports to overcome to meet the unexpected growth in container traffic.

He cited inadequate port capacities, ageing equipment, long dwell times, poor road and railway connections, and the need to dredge to accommodate larger vessels.

‘Customs … a partner in logistics’ was the focus of Oscar Brennikmeijer’s presentation. As director Customs Amsterdam, Customs Administration of the Netherlands he stressed that the authorised economic operator certificate is as an indispensable tool to secure an uninterrupted movement of goods in cross-border trade.

As an operator of LNG tank terminals across the world, Frits Eulderink, COO of Royal Vopak, the Netherlands, gave a perspective of the current global LNG market where liquefaction capacity is increasing. Eulderink highlighted that the Dutch government has a ‘National LNG Platform’ to promote the use of LNG as a transport fuel. Stakeholders, including ports, manufactures, and customers all innovation, and fiscal incentives. Olivier de Noray of French-based logistics service provider Bolloré, France, noted that his company has logistic networks in Africa made up of 15 ports, 11 dry ports, two railways, and one barging concession.

Prof Peter de Langen, principal consultant, Ports & Logistics Advisory at Eindhoven University of Technology, spoke next and offered an entrepreneurial business approach to port management by saying that ports must be dynamic port developers for the benefit of the regional and national economy and must make themselves relevant to all stakeholders and then act like an enterprise, sometimes taking risks.

Frank Luisman, director, Maritime & Transport Business Solutions, focused on public private partnerships (PPP) in Africa and initiated his discussion by saying that more and more privately funded ports are seen today, due to a substantial port capacity shortage, profitable port business, limited public budgets, and increasing institutional acceptance and facilitation.

Richard Anamoo, director general of Ghana Ports and Harbours Authority, said that a typhoon of democracy has changed the entire port scene in western Africa and then described a number of challenges facing the region including piracy, lack of IT, and clearance of goods.

The last speaker represented the fashion and design industry, Mieke Damen, executive director/vice-president Supply Chain at Vlisco Group a multi-national group of textile manufacturing companies with an extensive business presence on the African continent, discussed the challenges her company has faced in exporting and importing containers in Africa. Damen cited road congestion and counterfeit as two major challenges and asked members to be an advocate for investing in infrastructure in Africa through partnerships.

IAPH First Vice-President Santiago Garcia-Milà was last to speak and noted that the days presentations represent a good example of how ports can learn from each other and share best practices and that IAPH offers a great network for co-operation. Delegates then enjoyed a canal boat tour of the city followed by dinner at the National Maritime Museum. The next day they were given a tour of the port. PH

**MORE INFO:**
www.iaphworldports.org
Staying strong

Siti Noraishah Azizan is a vice chair of the Women’s Forum. She has been in the ports industry since she left university and believes that passion and determination are the key to success.

Women have increasingly become engaged in almost all sectors of modern employment and the port industry is not an exception. The establishment of the IAPH Women’s Forum is important for two reasons. It is a platform for women to bring forward issues concerning roles and opportunities, but is also a medium for women to put forward ideas that can contribute to the betterment of the port industry.

As vice chair of the forum, I have set myself two goals. The first is to support and assist the forum’s initiatives and to ensure the planning and execution of its plan to achieve its missions and goals. Secondly, and as a representative of Asia region, I want to see this region benefit from the forum’s initiatives.

It was by luck that I joined Johor Port Authority as an administrative officer in the Finance department just after I graduated from university in 1997. I had no knowledge or background of the industry but it seemed a good job and I was happy to be employed. However, as time passed I started to love my work and this passion increased the more I learned about ports and shipping. Three years later I was promoted to finance and administrative manager, then in 2003 to assistant general manager of Finance and Administration. Later I transferred to assistant general manager of Corporate Service & Development, a position which I held for eight years. Then in December 2012 I accepted an offer to join the private sector at Sabah Ports Pte Ltd as a general manager. I had to be determined and persistent in order to be taken seriously. In the early years of my career I was always thought of as a secretary to my male colleagues.

The most perilous time for a woman at work is when you start to move up the ladder. I experienced negative perception, rejection and slanders.

However, things are moving on. The port industry in Malaysia is seeing an encouraging shift in the number of women being given positions such as general manager and port manager, but it is still rare for women to make the board room.

For those just entering the industry, I would recommend that you show yourself to be as dynamic and resourceful as possible. Having the knowledge and expertise is always an advantage, but passion and determination will most likely get you further in your career.

Siti Noraishah Azizan is general manager at Sabah Ports in Malaysia. She believes that the most perilous time in a women’s career is when they start to move up the ladder.

Recently at HQ…

Port of Los Angeles delegation visits the IAPH HQ. From left to right: Yoshinobu Kajimoto, GM, Port of LA representative in Japan; Yoshito Honda, CEO, Port of LA representative in Japan; Michael DiBernardo, director of Business Development, Port of LA; Immediate Past President Dr Geraldine Knatz, executive director, Port of LA; David Arian, Board of Harbor Commissioners, Port of LA; Secretary General Susumu Naruse; Gary Lee Moore, interim executive director, Port of LA.

Miami’s port director and IAPH 2nd vice-president, Bill Johnson, visited the IAPH head office in Tokyo on 5 November. He and Secretary General Naruse and his staff exchanged views and opinions on various issues at large, including the latest port development status at Miami. He visited Japan as a member of the State of Florida’s international trade mission with Governor Rick Scott.

A delegation from the Port of Los Angeles also visited the head office on 21 November. Prior to meeting IAPH, they visited their customers and port officials in Japan.

“...I started to love my work and this passion increased the more I learned about ports and shipping.”
Trade Facilitation & PCS Committee needs you!

Ten members met at the Sines Port Authority’s head office in Portugal, for the Committee on Trade Facilitation and Port Community Systems meeting on 29 October last year. Frederic Dagnet from Port of Marseille and chair of the committee welcomed attendees with special reference to three new committee members. A fourth new member has also joined but was unable to attend the meeting. The committee reviewed its work plan for 2013-15, which can be found on the IAPH website.

The committee is hoping to attract interest from ports outside of Europe for participation on its ‘PCS benchmark study II: towards an exhaustive list of PCS worldwide. Transform the questionnaire to collect business case information’. Interested members are invited to email the chairman at frederick.dagnet@marseille-port.fr.

Also on the agenda was EU directive 2010/65/EU which enters force in July 2015 and requires port formalities to be reported for vessels arriving or departing from member states. The committee was worried that implementation of this directive could change the role of port community systems (PCS). It was noted that most governments have not yet decided how to implement the directive. The committee agreed to follow this issue and to include it in its ‘challenges’ document.

Attendees were reminded that Jaume Bagot from Port of Barcelona represented IAPH at the last forum of the United Nations Center for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business.

The next committee meeting will take place in April this year at the IAPH Mid-term Conference in Sydney.

MORE INFO: go the TR&PCS committee room at www.iaphworldports.org

Membership notes

The IAPH Secretariat is pleased to announce that the following has joined the Association.

**Associate member**

**Technical University of Denmark (DTU)**

- **Address**: Bygningstorvet 1 2800 Kgs Lyngby, Denmark
- **Telephone**: +45-45 251 519
- **E-mail**: hnpsar@transport.dtu.dk
- **Website**: www.dtu.dk
- **Representative**: Prof. Harilaos N. Psaraftis
- **Nature of business activities**: Higher education, research

Dates for your diary

A selection of forthcoming maritime courses and conferences

**January**

- **23-24**: 8th Indian Ocean Ports and Logistics 2014 – Mauritius
  www.transportevents.com
- **23-24**: Shifting International Trade Route – Tampa, Florida, USA
  www.aapa-ports.org

**February**

- **3-6**: Africa Port Development Forum – Durban, South Africa
  www.africaportdevelopmentforum.com
- **From 5**: Fundamentals of Container Shipping – distance learning
  www.lloydsmaritimeacademy.com/event/container-shipping-distance-learning
  (15% discount for IAPH members)
- **10-28**: UNESCO-IHE Short Course: Port Planning and Infrastructure Design – Delft, Netherlands
  http://www.unesco-ihe.org/short-courses
- **17-18**: Ports for Container Ships of Future Generations – Hamburg, Germany
- **18-19**: Seminar on IT and EDI in Port Business – Antwerp, Belgium
  www.portofantwerp.com/apec/
- **27-28**: 6th Intermodal Asia 2014 – Melbourne, Australia
  www.transportevents.com

**March**

- **3-21**: UNESCO-IHE Short Course: Environmental Engineering – Delft, Netherlands
  www.unesco-ihe.org/short-courses
- **3-21**: UNESCO-IHE Short Course: Coastal and Port Structures – Delft, Netherlands
  www.unesco-ihe.org/short-courses
- **From 5**: Fundamentals of Exploration & Production Logistics – distance learning
  www.ibc-academy.com/event/oil-gas-logistics-distance-learning (15% discount for IAPH members)
- **24-25**: AAPA Spring Conference – Washington DC, USA
  www.aapa-ports.org
- **31-4**: Seminar on Dredging and Reclamation – Brisbane, Australia
  www.iadc-dredging.com

**April**
This year the European Sea Ports Organisation (ESPO) celebrated its 20th anniversary. Even if celebrating 20 years of existence proves you are still a young organisation, I can say with pride that ESPO has achieved a lot in the past 20 years. It has proved to be more than a stakeholder organisation as it defends its members during the decision-making process leading to EU directives and regulations affecting ports and their environment.

Since its existence ESPO has been a pioneer in different fields. Its first initiative was to publish its Environmental Code of Practice in 1994. ESPO’s commitment towards sustainability was further confirmed by the creation of Ecoports a few years later. Since then the Ecoports network has continued to grow and has helped European ports to integrate the environment in their functioning and performance measurement.

Societal integration of European ports has yet been another area where ESPO has set the scene. Since 2009 ESPO has organised an award for societal integration highlighting the excellent work being done by ports that are committed to caring about their communities.

A third example of ESPO’s proactive engagement is its openness to the world and its eagerness to co-operate with other organisations. I believe that its co-operation with IAPH is high on that list. ESPO – including the secretariat, its board, and its members – has engaged itself to strengthen relations with ports outside Europe. That is why ESPO and IAPH signed a memorandum of understanding in 2012.

IAPH can help European port authorities in their relations with international institutions such as IMO. At the same time ESPO is the prime interface of European institutions and the ideal platform to promote and contribute to the implementation of IAPH’s initiatives at EU level. ESPO’s co-operation with IAPH goes beyond the regulation. I believe European ports can share knowhow with ports in other parts of the world and also learn from their experiences. I do express the wish that ESPO and IAPH continue the fruitful co-operation and step up their relations during the coming years.
The International Association of Ports and Harbors (IAPH) is a global alliance representing over 190 ports in 85 countries. Together, IAPH member ports handle over 60% of the world’s sea-borne trade and nearly 80% of the world’s container traffic. It is a non-profit-making and non-governmental organisation headquartered in Tokyo, Japan.

IAPH provides a platform to develop and foster good relations and co-operation among the world’s ports and harbors through forums where opinions and experiences can be exchanged. It promotes the role ports play in waterborne transportation and in today’s global economy.

**Benefits of membership include:**
- Free copies of IAPH publications including Ports & Harbors, Membership Directory, newsletter and full access to IAPH website
- A voice for your port via IAPH representatives within organisations such as IMO, UNCTAD and WCO
- A chance to influence decisions at IAPH’s technical committee meetings
- Networking opportunities at IAPH’s meetings and conferences, plus reduced registration fees for these events

**To apply for membership please email**
info@iaphworldports.org or visit www.iaphworldports.org

‘The Global Ports’ Forum for Industry Collaboration and Excellence’
PORT OF KINGSTON
The Western Hemisphere’s
Beacon of Maritime Excellence

YOUR TRANSSHIPMENT HUB TO THE WORLD

The Port of Kingston has become a key player on the international shipping scene. With its excellent facilities and strategic location, Kingston is widely recognised as the Caribbean’s number one hub for container transhipment.

Focus of operations is Kingston Container Terminal (KCT), owned by The Port Authority of Jamaica and operated by Kingston Container Services Ltd, a major subsidiary. KCT has three terminals with a combined capacity of 2.8 million teu. Maximum efficiency has been achieved through a combination of leading-edge technology, stable industrial relations and a highly trained, well motivated workforce.

The Port of Kingston is perfectly placed for ships trading on north-south and east-west routes across the Caribbean and for vessels using the Panama Canal.

The Port of Kingston
15-17 Duke Street, Kingston, Jamaica W1
Tel: +876 922 0290/9 • Fax: +876 924 9437
Email: paj@portjam.com • www.portjam.com

KCT Services Limited
Tel: +876 937 7916